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#### Abstract

Summary - Root-lesion nematodes (Pratylenchus) have a worldwide distribution and cause severe production constraints on numerous important crops. During a survey of the wheat-growing area of Morocco, 17 populations of root-lesion nematode were collected. They were identified on the basis of their morphological and morphometric characters, and by molecular methods. Microscopic observations of females and males demonstrated the occurrence of $P$. penetrans in 13 of the 17 samples; $P$. thornei and P. pseudocoffeae were detected in four samples from Zaers and a single sample from Settat, respectively. A duplex PCR primer set was used to confirm the presence of $P$. penetrans while the species-specific forward primer PTHO and the common reverse primer D3B were used for P. thornei. For the remaining populations, the D2-D3 expansion segments of the 28S rRNA gene were amplified and the obtained sequences were compared with those of Pratylenchus species in the GenBank database. This comparison confirmed the morphological identifications and revealed a population of P. pinguicaudatus. The study of the phylogenetic relationship of the Moroccan Pratylenchus populations showed a high similarity ( $99-100 \%$ ) between all P. penetrans populations. The population dynamics of six Pratylenchus populations from Morocco were evaluated on carrot disk cultures at 4,8 and 12 weeks after inoculation, and at $10,15,20$ and $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The optimum temperature for reproduction of all populations was $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 8 weeks at this temperature, nematode numbers increased up to 458 -fold, 310 -fold and 252 -fold for the four populations of $P$. penetrans, the $P$. thornei and the P. pseudocoffeae population, respectively.
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Cereals constitute the world's most important source of food. Amongst them, wheat (Triticum aestivum L. and T. durum Desf.) occupies the top position in terms of production, acreage and source of nutrition, particularly in developing countries (Nicol et al., 2011). By 2030, the world production of cereals is expected to have increased to 8 billion tons; the world production of T. aestivum is expected to increase from 584 million tons (average 19951999) to 860 million tons (Hossain \& Teixeira Da Silva, 2012). In Morocco, wheat production (T. aestivum and $T$. durum) in 2012-2013 was estimated at 3.8 million tons, ranking the country 15th among the wheat-producing countries (Anonymous, 2013).

Cereals are exposed to biotic and abiotic stresses. Among the biotic stresses, plant-parasitic nematodes play an important role in decreasing crop yield (Nicol, 2002; Nicol \& Rivoal, 2008). The damage they cause to cereals has been estimated at $\$$ US80 billion per year (Nicol et al., 2011). Root-lesion nematodes (RLN; Pratylenchus spp.) are widespread and one of the most important groups of plant-parasitic nematodes (Jones et al., 2013). Significant economic losses due to RLN have been reported from Europe (Lasserre et al., 1994), Australia (Thompson et al., 1993, 2008) and the USA (Smiley et al., 2004).

Eight Pratylenchus species affect roots of cereals (Rivoal \& Cook, 1993). Among them, P. thornei Sher

[^0]\& Allen, P. neglectus (Rensch) Filipjev \& Schuurmans Stekhoven, P. penetrans (Cobb) Filipjev \& Schuurmans Stekhoven and $P$. crenatus Loof have a worldwide distribution, and sometimes co-exist (Nicol et al., 2003). In cereal-growing areas of Morocco, Pratylenchus spp. are the most important plant-parasitic nematodes (Meskine \& Abbad Andaloussi, 1992; Mokrini et al., 2012). They cause extensive necrosis of the roots with consequent crop losses. Pratylenchus penetrans was recovered from $70 \%$ of the soil samples taken during a nematode survey of wheat-growing areas, with population densities of 32-123 nematodes ( 100 ml soil $)^{-1}$ and 67-102 (nematodes g root $)^{-1}$ (Mokrini et al., 2012).

Traditionally, identification of Pratylenchus species relies on morphological characters of the adult stages (Loof, 1991; Handoo et al., 2001). However, diversity in morphology and morphometrics among and within the species has been reported frequently as the result of different environmental conditions, including host plant (e.g., Román \& Hirschmann, 1969; Doucet et al., 2001). This morphological diversity makes diagnosing of Pratylenchus species time consuming and technically difficult.

Diversity also exists at the genetic level between and within Pratylenchus species (Orui, 1996; Uehara et al., 1998; Waeyenberge et al., 2000). It allows separation of species on the basis of different DNA fragments and makes molecular characterisation a useful complement to morphological identification (Orui, 1996; Waeyenberge et al., 2000; Mizukubo et al., 2003). Genetic variation further allows the study of the phylogeny of the pratylenchids (Subbotin et al., 2008) by comparing sequences of the ITS rDNA (e.g., Waeyenberge et al., 2000; Mizukubo et al., 2003; de la Peña et al., 2006) or the D2-D3 segment of 28 S rDNA (e.g., Al-Banna et al., 2004; De Luca et al., 2004; Subbotin et al., 2008). Intraspecific variation in the ITS rDNA has been observed in several Pratylenchus species (Uehara et al., 1998; Waeyenberge et al., 2000; Mizukubo et al., 2003).

Earlier research also revealed differences in pathogenicity between Pratylenchus populations; e.g., P. vulnus Allen \& Jensen on peach almond hybrids and apple rootstocks (Pinochet et al., 1993), P. coffeae Goodey on sweet potato (Mizukubo \& Sano, 1997) and P. neglectus on potato (Hafez et al., 1999). Trinh et al. (2011) demonstrated the high pathogenicity of Vietnamese isolates of $P$. coffeae on Arabica coffee. The isolates differed in reproductive fitness, which was linked to their pathogenicity. Reproductive fitness is one of the major components of pathogenicity (Shaner et al., 1992); it is an important fea-
ture for the assessment and understanding of disease reactions of plants to pathogens. To compare the reproductive fitness of Pratylenchus populations, the use of in vitro monoxenic cultures, such as carrot disk cultures (Moody et al., 1973), offers a suitable approach since this technique provides homogenous environmental conditions including a constant temperature.

To our knowledge, Moroccan RLN have only been studied with respect to their morphology (Meskine \& Abbad Andaloussi, 1992; Mokrini et al., 2009); hence, there is no information available on the diversity of their morphometrics and genetics, nor of their potential pathogenicity. For this reason, we conducted a survey of the major wheat-growing areas in Morocco, with the following main objectives: $i$ ) to collect, identify and compare Pratylenchus species and populations using morphological, morphometric and molecular approaches including species-specific PCR and sequencing of the D2-D3 28S rDNA expansion segments; and $i i$ ) to assess the diversity in population dynamics and optimum temperature for reproduction between Moroccan Pratylenchus species and populations by examining their in vitro reproduction.

## Materials and methods

## Pratylenchus populations

A nematode survey was conducted in 2011 in four cereal-growing areas of Morocco, i.e., Zaers, Chaouia, Gharb and Sais (Table 1). For each region, 15-20 fields were sampled, yielding a total of 75 soil and root samples. Samples were taken where plants showed chlorotic leaves and poor growth. Each sample was composed of 15 subsamples randomly collected in the rhizosphere of several plants at a depth of $5-20 \mathrm{~cm}$. The sample was thoroughly mixed before ca 2000 g was taken to the laboratory. Nematodes were extracted from 100 g of soil and 10 g of roots (when present) using an automated zonal centrifuge (Hendrickx, 1995). The extracts were examined for the presence of the genus Pratylenchus using a compound microscope; a total of 17 Pratylenchus populations were obtained.

## MORPHOLOGICAL IDENTIFICATION

From each isolate, adults were recovered from carrot disks, fixed (Netscher \& Seinhorst, 1969) and transferred to anhydrous glycerin (Seinhorst, 1959). Ten females or males were transferred to a drop of glycerin and covered

Table 1. Species and populations of Pratylenchus collected during a survey in wheat-producing areas of Morocco (2011).
$\begin{array}{llllccl}\hline \text { Code } & \text { Location } & \text { Area } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Morphological } \\ \text { identification* }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Identification using PCR with } \\ \text { specific primer }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Result of } \\ \text { sequencing* }\end{array} \\$\cline { 4 - 6 } \& \& \& \& P. penetrans \& P. thornei\end{array}$]$

Values in parentheses are the number of nematode specimen used for DNA extraction. + : positive for the mentioned species; - : negative for the mentioned species; /: not checked

* Pp: Pratylenchus penetrans; Pth: P. thornei; Pping: P. pinguicaudatus; Ppseu: P. pseudocoffeae.
with a cover slip. The nematodes were identified using the morphometrics and the morphological features of ten females and ten males as suggested by Ryss (1988) and Castillo \& Vovlas (2007). Measurements were taken with an Olympus BX51 compound microscope equipped with an Olympus image-capture system and software (Cell^D).


## Molecular observations

## DNA extraction

For each of the populations, DNA was extracted from 16 individuals separately, depending on the number of nematodes available. Nematodes were transferred to an Eppendorf tube containing $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ double distilled water and $25 \mu 1$ nematode lysis buffer (final concentration: 200 mM $\mathrm{NaCl}, 200 \mathrm{mM}$ Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 8), 1 \%$ mercaptoethanol and $800 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ proteinase K ). The tubes were incubated at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h and $99^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min , consecutively (Holterman et al., 2006). A total of 52 DNA extracts were obtained from 17 populations (Table 1). They were stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or used immediately for DNA amplification.

## PCR with species-specific primers

The species-specific primers PpenA ( $5^{\prime}$-TGA CTA TAT GAC ACA TTT RAA CTT G-3') and AB28 (5'-ATA TGC TTA AGT TCA GCG GGA-3') (Waeyenberge et al., 2009) together with the universal primers (De Ley et al., 1999) D3A ( $5^{\prime}$-GAC CCG TCT TGA AAC ACG GA- $3^{\prime}$ ) and D3B ( $5^{\prime}$-TCG GAA GGA ACC AGC TAC TA-3') were used to detect $P$. penetrans in all 52 DNA extracts. The DNA extracts not identified as $P$. penetrans were used in a PCR with the species-specific forward primer PTHO ( $5^{\prime}$-TAG GGC AGT AGG TTG TCG GC- $3^{\prime}$ ) along with the universal reverse primer D3B (Al-Banna et al., 2004) to detect $P$. thornei.
To detect P. penetrans, $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of DNA extract (see above) were added to the PCR reaction mixture containing $21 \mu \mathrm{lddH} 2 \mathrm{O}, 25 \mu \mathrm{l} 2 \times$ DreamTaq PCR Master Mix (Fermentas Life Sciences) and $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of each of the primers Ppen, AB28, D3A and D3B. The thermal cycler program consisted of 5 min at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 35$ cycles of 30 s at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~s}$ at $56^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 60 s at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by a final elongation step of 8 min at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For the detection of $P$. thornei, $2 \mu 1$ of the DNA extract was added to the PCR reaction mixture containing $22 \mu \mathrm{ldd} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$,
$25 \mu \mathrm{l} 2 \times$ DreamTaq PCR Master Mix (Fermentas Life Sciences), and $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of both primers PTHO and D3B. The program of the thermal cycler consisted of 8 min at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; 35$ cycles of 30 s at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 45 \mathrm{~s}$ at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 1 min at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by a final elongation step of 8 min at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Five $\mu \mathrm{l}$ of each PCR product was mixed then with $1 \mu$ l of $6 \times$ loading buffer (Fermentas Life Sciences) and loaded on a $1.5 \%$ standard TAE buffered agarose gel. After electrophoresis ( $100 \mathrm{~V}, 40 \mathrm{~min}$ ), the gel was stained with ethidium bromide $\left(0.1 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}\right)$ for 20 min , visualised and photographed under UV-light. The remaining PCR product was stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Sequencing of D2-D3 expansion segments

For DNA extracts where the species-specific PCR for both $P$. penetrans and $P$. thornei were negative, the D2D3 region was amplified with the forward D2A ( $5^{\prime}$-ACA AGTACC GTG AGG GAA AGT TG-3') and reverse D3B ( $5^{\prime}$-TCG GAA GGA ACCAGC TAC TA- $3^{\prime}$ ) primers according to De Ley et al. (1999), and subsequently sequenced. Additionally, to confirm the positive results obtained by the species-specific PCR, we sequenced the PCR-product from one DNA extract of each population identified as $P$. penetrans or $P$. thornei, except for two populations of $P$. thornei of which extracts were depleted.

In each case, $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ DNA extract (see above) was added to the PCR reaction mixture containing $22 \mu \mathrm{l} \mathrm{ddH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $25 \mu \mathrm{l} 2 \times$ DreamTaq PCR Master Mix (Fermentas Life Sciences) and $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of both primers (D2A and D3B). The thermal cycler program consisted of 5 min at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; 40$ cycles of 30 s at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 45 \mathrm{~s}$ at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 1 min at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; this was followed by a final elongation step of 7 min at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After PCR amplification, $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of each PCR product was mixed with $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $6 \times$ loading buffer (Fermentas Life Sciences) and loaded on a $1.5 \%$ standard TAE buffered agarose gel. After electrophoresis ( $100 \mathrm{~V}, 40 \mathrm{~min}$ ) the gel was stained with ethidium bromide $\left(0.1 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}\right)$ for 20 min , visualised and photographed under UV-light. The remaining PCR product was stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The amplification and electrophoresis process were repeated and both PCR products were pooled for purification. The purification process was done as described by the manufacturer's instructions (Wizard ${ }^{\circledR}$ SV Gel and PCR CleanUp System Kit, Promega). The purified PCR products were sequenced (Macrogen) in both directions to obtain overlapping sequences of the forward and reverse DNA strands. The sequences were edited and analysed using the software packages Chromas 2.00 (Technelysium) and BioEdit 7.0.4.1 (Hall, 1999). Finally, all sequences were
blasted in GenBank (Sequin v. 9.00, http://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/) to reveal their identity.

## Phylogenetic analysis

The obtained sequences were compiled with sequences of Pratylenchus species available in GenBank. The sequences were aligned with Clustal W (Thompson et al., 1994) and visually checked. Differences between sequences were estimated using the DNA distance option provided by BioEdit sequence alignment editor (Hall, 1999). The alignment was imported into the software package Mega 5.0 (Tamura et al., 2011); after checking 24 different nucleotide substitution models, the model with the lowest BIC score was retained for constructing a $60 \%$ consensus Maximum Likelihood tree. Radopholus similis (Cobb) Thorne (KF234235) was added as outgroup. Bootstrap analysis with 100 replicates was performed to assess the degree of support for each clade on the tree.

## REproductive fitness

## Nematode cultures

Starting from single females, four populations of $P$. penetrans (PZ3, PC2, PG18 and PS20), one population of P. thornei (PZ2) and one of P. pseudocoffeae Mizukubo (PC1) were multiplied in vitro. Each population was established on carrot disks (Moody et al., 1973), which were inoculated with one gravid female per disk and kept in an incubator at $21-22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. When needed, the nematodes were extracted from the carrot disks on a Baermann funnel in a mistifier chamber. Vigorous nematode inoculum was available 2 months later.
To assess population dynamics at different times and temperatures, batches of 120 carrot disks of each of the six selected populations were prepared. Twenty gravid females were inoculated per carrot disk and kept in a Petri dish sealed with Parafilm. Disks were incubated in the dark at $10,15,20$ or $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4,8 or 12 weeks; they were completely randomised in the incubators. After every incubation time, ten carrot disks were used for evaluation of the nematode reproduction. The experiment was repeated to confirm the results.

## Assessment of nematode reproduction

Nematodes were extracted from the carrot disks at the end of the incubation periods. Living mobile stages were collected after 48 h on a Baermann funnel in a mistifier chamber (Hallman \& Viaene, 2013). Then, the same carrot disks were macerated in a blender for 1 min before nematode eggs were extracted using an automated
centrifuge (Hendrickx, 1995) to collect the remaining immobile nematodes. The sum of the number of eggs, juveniles, females and males was the final population density $\left(P_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$ that was used to compute the reproduction factor $\left(R_{\mathrm{f}}\right)=P_{\mathrm{f}} / P_{\mathrm{i}}$ ( $P_{\mathrm{i}}$ : initial nematode population density $=$ inoculum density $=20$ females).

## STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The morphometric data of males and females were analysed in a canonical discriminant analysis (CDA) to investigate the distinctness of the populations. Data from both experiments on reproductive fitness were not significantly different and were therefore pooled for analysis, resulting in 20 replicates per combination (population $\times$ time $\times$ temperature). Data were analysed using SPSS 21 software. Numbers of female, male, eggs, juveniles and final total population density were subjected to a three-way ANOVA. Subsequently, the means were separated using Tukey's Honestly Significant Difference test ( $P<0.05$ ).

## Results

The survey yielded 17 Pratylenchus populations (Table 1). Ten populations were monospecific for $P$. penetrans, one for P. thornei, one for P. pseudocoffeae, and three populations contained a mixture of two species ( $P$. penetrans and $P$. thornei). Two populations, PZ7 and PZ8, from the Zaers region yielded only eight and five females, respectively. These limited numbers failed to multiply on carrot-disks; hence, morphometrics are not available for these populations. They were molecularly characterised as P. pinguicaudatus Corbett.

## MORPHOLOGY AND MORPHOMETRICS

## Pratylenchus penetrans (Cobb, 1917) Filipjev \& Schuurmans Stekhoven, 1941

## MORPHOMETRICS

See Tables 2, 3.

## DESCRIPTION

## Female

Body slender and vermiform, straight to slightly curved ventrally when killed. Lip region set off, flat anteriorly with rounded outer margins, bearing three annules. Lateral field marked by four incisures. Stylet robust with rounded knobs, sometimes flattened anteriorly. Excretory
pore at 79.6 (74.0-81.5) $\mu \mathrm{m}$ from anterior extremity (averages of 13 populations), located 2-3 annules posterior to hemizonid. Spermatheca rounded, filled with sperm. Postuterine sac longer than body diam. Vulva located at 78.1 (75.0-80.4)\% of body length (average values of 13 populations). Tail generally cylindrical, 28.3 (26.0-30.5) $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long. Tail smooth, with hemispherical end, comprising 2028 annules on ventral side.

## Male

Morphologically similar to female, but smaller for all non-sexual characters. Stylet knobs variable in shape. Lateral field with four lines ending on bursa, spicules slender, gubernaculum ventrally curved. Bursa enclosing tail.

## REMARKS

This species (13 populations) was found in the four cereal-growing areas of the country. The morphological features and the morphometrics of these populations were similar to other populations described by Loof (1960), Román \& Hirschmann (1969) and Townshend (1991), except for variation of the a-ratio of populations PZ12 and PZ18. Females of these two populations showed the greatest a-ratio of all the females examined. The populations showed different degrees of variability in morphology within the population. The most variable character was the tail terminus of females of $P$. penetrans; within population PC 2 , this feature ranged from smooth to distinctly crenate. In three out of 17 populations $P$. penetrans was found mixed with $P$. thornei.

## Pratylenchus thornei Sher \& Allen, 1953

## MORPHOMETRICS

See Table 4.

## DESCRIPTION

## Female

Lip region relatively high, composed of three annules, not offset from body. Body contracted ventrally posterior to vulva. Lateral field marked by four incisures. Stylet moderately stout, with rounded to anteriorly flattened basal knobs. Tail terminus bluntly rounded to truncate. Vulva at 76.7 (74-78)\% of body length (average of four populations). Spermatheca difficult to observe, without spermatozoa when visible. Excretory pore 83 (80-85) $\mu \mathrm{m}$
Table 2. Morphometric characters of females of Pratylenchus penetrans populations from different localities in Morocco. All measurements ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ ) are in $\mu \mathrm{m}$ and


| Character | Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Loof } \\ (1960) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PZ1* | PZ4 | PZ18 | PZ19 | PZ3 | PZ12 | PC2 | PC3 | PC20 | PG18 | PS12 | PS14 | PS20 |  |
| L | $\begin{gathered} 605 \pm 33.7 \\ (567-678) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 592 \pm 22.5 \\ (550-622) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 612 \pm 53 \\ & (530-693) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 585 \pm 50 \\ & (512-646) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 605 \pm 26.4 \\ (570-655) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 601 \pm 66 \\ & (517-710) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 556 \pm 43 \\ & (490-612) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 630 \pm 37 \\ & (586-710) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 556 \pm 38 \\ & (512-625) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 601 \pm 49 \\ & (512-690) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 578 \pm 52 \\ & (461-643) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 569 \pm 41 \\ & (490-621) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 586 \pm 30 \\ (522.3-621) \end{gathered}$ | 343-811 |
| a | $\begin{gathered} 32.2 \pm 2.1 \\ (29.3-36) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31.1 \pm 2.7 \\ & (26.7-35.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33.1 \pm 2.1 \\ & (30.1-36.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31.8 \pm 2.3 \\ & (27.8-33.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33.1 \pm 1.5 \\ & (30.0-35.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32.8 \pm 3.2 \\ & (28.4-37.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.2 \pm 1.6 \\ & (26.7-31.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.6 \pm 2.1 \\ (27.4-34) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.9 \pm 2.2 \\ & (27.3-34.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31.3 \pm 2.8 \\ & (27.1-35.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.7 \pm 4.1 \\ (24-34.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.9 \pm 2.3 \\ (27-33.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.8 \pm 3.1 \\ & (26.3-35.8) \end{aligned}$ | 19-32 |
| b | $\begin{gathered} 6.6 \pm 0.4 \\ (6-7.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.6 \pm 0.2 \\ & (6.3-6.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.2 \pm 0.8 \\ (6-8.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.0 \pm 0.6 \\ & (5.9-7.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.9 \pm 0.4 \\ (6.4-7.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.1 \pm 0.7 \\ & (5.9 .-8.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.6 \pm 0.7 \\ (5.4-7.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.7 \pm 0.6 \\ & (7.1-8.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.7 \pm 0.6 \\ & (6.8-8.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.2 \pm 0.6 \\ & (6.2-8.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.8 \pm 0.6 \\ & (5.4-7.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.9 \pm 0.6 \\ & (6.1-7.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.3 \pm 0.5 \\ (6.6-8) \end{gathered}$ | 5.3-7.9 |
| $\mathrm{b}^{\prime}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.9 \pm 0.2 \\ & (4.5-5.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.4 \pm 0.1 \\ & (4.3-4.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.7 \pm 0.4 \\ (4.1-5.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.6 \pm 0.4 \\ (3.9-5.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.9 \pm 0.3 \\ (4.5-5.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.9 \pm 0.3 \\ (4-5.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.4 \pm 0.6 \\ (4-5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.0 \pm 0.3 \\ (4-5.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.4 \pm 0.3 \\ (4-5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.7 \pm 0.4 \\ (4-5.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.5 \pm 0.4 \\ (4-5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.5 \pm 0.5 \\ (4-5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.8 \pm 0.4 \\ (4-5.6) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| c | $\begin{gathered} 21.5 \pm 1.5 \\ (18.7-23.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.7 \pm 1.8 \\ & (19.1-25.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22.9 \pm 3.3 \\ & (17.5-27.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.7 \pm 3.1 \\ & (17.3-28.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.9 \pm 1.7 \\ & (19.7-24.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.8 \pm 3 \\ (18.6-26.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \pm 1.5 \\ & (17.5-23) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.6 \pm 1.4 \\ & (17.3-22.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24.9 \pm 5.8 \\ (20-36.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.7 \pm 1.2 \\ & (17.9-21.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19 \pm 1.1 \\ (16.9-20.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.6 \pm 1.7 \\ (15.7-22) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.2 \pm 1.6 \\ & (18.5-23.4) \end{aligned}$ | 15-24 |
| $c^{\prime}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.2 \pm 0.2 \\ (1.8-2.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1 \pm 0.2 \\ & (1.7-2.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.2 \pm 0.2 \\ (1.9-2.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.3 \pm 0.2 \\ (2.1-2.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.3 \pm 0.2 \\ (1.8-2.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.2 \pm 0.2 \\ (1.8-2.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \pm 0.2 \\ (1.8-2.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.3 \pm 0.3 \\ (1.7-2.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.2 \pm 0.3 \\ (1.8-2.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.1 \pm 0.3 \\ (1.5-2.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.2 \pm 0.2 \\ & (1.8-2.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.9 \pm 0.1 \\ & (1.7-2.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \pm 0.2 \\ (1.7-2.3) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| V | $\begin{aligned} & 80.4 \pm 1.1 \\ & (78.0-82.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 79.2 \pm 0.7 \\ & (77.0-80.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80.1 \pm 0.7 \\ & (78.0-82.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 78.7 \pm 1.3 \\ (76.0-80.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 79.9 \pm 0.5 \\ (77.0-81.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 78.7 \pm 1.1 \\ & (76.0-82.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75.0 \pm 1.3 \\ & (74.0-79.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 77.8 \pm 0.9 \\ (76.0-80.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 78.5 \pm 1.7 \\ & (77.0-8.01) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 78 \pm 1.2 \\ (76.0-80.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 76.2 \pm 0.3 \\ (75.0-78.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 76.2 \pm 1.6 \\ & (75.0-78.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 77 \pm 2.1 \\ (75.0-80.0) \end{gathered}$ | 75-84 |
| Stylet length | $\begin{aligned} & 15.6 \pm 0.7 \\ & (14.6-17.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.7 \pm 0.5 \\ & (15.0-16.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.3 \pm 0.6 \\ & (15.8-17.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.9 \pm 0.5 \\ & (14.9-16.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.3 \pm 0.5 \\ (15.6-17.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.0 \pm 0.4 \\ & (15.2-16.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.6 \pm 0.5 \\ & (14.8-16.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.1 \pm 0.9 \\ & (14.8-17.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.0 \pm 0.8 \\ & (14.8-17.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.2 \pm 0.7 \\ (15.1-17.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.1 \pm 0.5 \\ (15.2-17.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.6 \pm 0.5 \\ & (15.0-16.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.3 \pm 0.5 \\ & (15.6-17.2) \end{aligned}$ | 15-17 |
| DGO | $\begin{gathered} 2.5 \pm 0.3 \\ (2.2-3.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.9 \pm 0.3 \\ (2.4-3.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.6 \pm 0.2 \\ (2.1-2.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.7 \pm 0.3 \\ (2.1-3.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.7 \pm 0.2 \\ (2.3-3.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.6 \pm 0.2 \\ (2.1-2.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.7 \pm 0.4 \\ (2.1-3.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.5 \pm 0.4 \\ (2.1-3.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.3 \pm 0.1 \\ (2.1-2.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.8 \pm 0.3 \\ (2.3-3.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.6 \pm 0.3 \\ (2.1-3.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.8 \pm 0.3 \\ (2.5-3.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.7 \pm 0.3 \\ (2.2-3.1) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Max. body diam. | $\begin{gathered} 19 \pm 0.8 \\ (17.6-20.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.1 \pm 1.7 \\ (17.6-21) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.4 \pm 0.8 \\ (17.5-19.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.3 \pm 0.5 \\ & (17.3-19.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.2 \pm 0.6 \\ (17.5-19.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.3 \pm 0.6 \\ (17.5-19.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19 \pm 0.7 \\ (17.8-20.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.6 \pm 1.6 \\ & (17.3-22.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.2 \pm 1 \\ (18.9-22.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.2 \pm 1.5 \\ (16.7-21.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.6 \pm 2.2 \\ (17-22.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.1 \pm 1.8 \\ (16.7-22) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.1 \pm 1.5 \\ (17.4-21.9) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Excretory pore | $\begin{aligned} & 81.2 \pm 1.7 \\ & (78.8-84.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80.9 \pm 1.4 \\ & (79.3-84.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 81.2 \pm 0.8 \\ & (79.4-82.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 81.1 \pm 1.1 \\ & (79.5-83.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 81.5 \pm 0.5 \\ & (80.6-82.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81.3 \pm 1.2 \\ (79.2-83) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75.7 \pm 2.1 \\ & (72.0-78.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 74.0 \pm 2.5 \\ (70.0-79.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75.3 \pm 1.4 \\ & (73.0-78.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 81.4 \pm 1.2 \\ & (79.7-83.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 81.2 \pm 1.5 \\ & (78.2-83.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80.7 \pm 1.6 \\ & (78.3-83.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80.3 \pm 2.7 \\ & (76.3-85.0) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| L/excretory pore | $\begin{aligned} & 7.4 \pm 0.4 \\ & (7.0-8.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.3 \pm 0.2 \\ & (6.8-7.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.5 \pm 0.7 \\ & (6.5-8.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.2 \pm 0.6 \\ & (6.2-8.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.4 \pm 0.3 \\ & (6.9-7.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.4 \pm 0.8 \\ (6.3-8.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.3 \pm 0.5 \\ & (6.3-7.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.5 \pm 0.6 \\ & (7.7-9.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.3 \pm 0.4 \\ (7.6-8.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.4 \pm 0.6 \\ & (6.1-8.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.1 \pm 0.7 \\ (5.6-8.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.1 \pm 0.5 \\ & (6.0-7.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.3 \pm 0.3 \\ & (6.6-7.8) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Pharynx length | $\begin{aligned} & 82.7 \pm 5.7 \\ & (75.4-92.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88.9 \pm 3.5 \\ & (84.2-94.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 85.1 \pm 4.2 \\ & (77.2-90.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 83.0 \pm 3.4 \\ (79.0-89.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 86.6 \pm 3.8 \\ (78.4-93.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 84.2 \pm 4.1 \\ (78.4-88.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83.9 \pm 3.8 \\ & (76.3-90.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81.0 \pm 4.6 \\ (74.3-87.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81.2 \pm 4.4 \\ (74.1-87.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 82.8 \pm 3.5 \\ & (76.0-87.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 84.3 \pm 3.1 \\ & (79.3-89.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 82.5 \pm 3.2 \\ & (78.2-87.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80.1 \pm 3 \\ (76.1-86.7) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Pharyngeal overlap | $\begin{aligned} & 39.3 \pm 5.7 \\ & (28.5-46.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42.7 \pm 2.9 \\ (36.4-47) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 43.2 \pm 2.4 \\ (39-47.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44.4 \pm 2.2 \\ & (39.5-47.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34.7 \pm 4.7 \\ (28.4-44) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38.3 \pm 3.8 \\ & (32.5-43.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42.6 \pm 3.2 \\ & (38.2-47.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45 \pm 2.1 \\ (41.2-48.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44.6 \pm 1.9 \\ & (42.2-47.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45.4 \pm 1.7 \\ (42-47.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42.5 \pm 2.1 \\ (39-46.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44.3 \pm 1.7 \\ & (41.8-47.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42.7 \pm 2.6 \\ & (39.3-47.2) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Ovary | $\begin{gathered} 210 \pm 16.6 \\ (190-240) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 200 \pm 6.6 \\ & (192-211) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 216 \pm 7.6 \\ & (204-226) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 232 \pm 4.1 \\ & (227-241) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 233 \pm 5.6 \\ (220-240.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 229 \pm 5.8 \\ & (223-239) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 197 \pm 3.5 \\ & (192-203) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 193 \pm 1.9 \\ & (190-197) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 194 \pm 2.5 \\ & (192-199) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 204 \pm 6.8 \\ & (192-213) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 234 \pm 10.2 \\ (225-253) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 234 \pm 5.2 \\ & (223-241) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 226 \pm 9.6 \\ & (213-241) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Post-uterine sac | $\begin{aligned} & 28.0 \pm 2.4 \\ & (25.1-32.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28.1 \pm 1.9 \\ & (25.5-31.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26.5 \pm 0.9 \\ & (24.7-27.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.8 \pm 0.7 \\ & (28.9-31.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.9 \pm 1.6 \\ & (28.8-34.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.5 \pm 1.3 \\ & (28.6-32.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.2 \pm 2.2 \\ & (25.5-32.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26.4 \pm 1.8 \\ & (23.5-28.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26.7 \pm 2.5 \\ & (22.1-29.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26.9 \pm 2.9 \\ & (23.4-31.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.3 \pm 1.8 \\ & (23.4-29.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.9 \pm 1.7 \\ (23.5-29) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26.2 \pm 1.7 \\ & (22.1-28.4) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Tail | $\begin{aligned} & 28.3 \pm 2.7 \\ & (24.5-31.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.5 \pm 2.8 \\ & (23.3-32.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.1 \pm 3.1 \\ & (23.4-33.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28.8 \pm 4.6 \\ & (18.2-33.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.7 \pm 2.7 \\ & (23.8-32.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.7 \pm 1.8 \\ & (24.3-29.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28 \pm 2.3 \\ (23.9-31.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.6 \pm 2.9 \\ & (25.9-34.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26 \pm 5.2 \\ (18.4-32.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.5 \pm 2.9 \\ & (27.4-35.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.4 \pm 2.4 \\ (27.3-35.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.1 \pm 1.9 \\ (26.3-32) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.7 \pm 1.1 \\ & (25.8-29.8) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Vulva to anus distance | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \pm 5.1 \\ & (83-101) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 98 \pm 6.1 \\ & (89-105) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 93 \pm 7.2 \\ & (83-103) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 95 \pm 6 \\ (87-104) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 93 \pm 4.6 \\ (85-98) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 99 \pm 6.3 \\ & (87-109) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 111 \pm 6.5 \\ (98-119) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 110 \pm 6.1 \\ & (102-119) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 109 \pm 4.6 \\ & (101-116) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 107 \pm 8.3 \\ (93-117) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 106 \pm 8.9 \\ (92-117) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 105 \pm 7.2 \\ (93-113) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 102 \pm 9.8 \\ (91-117) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Lip diam. | $\begin{gathered} 7.6 \pm 0.4 \\ (7.0-8.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.2 \pm 0.4 \\ & (6.5-8.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.6 \pm 0.5 \\ (6.1-7.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.7 \pm 0.4 \\ & (6.8-8.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.1 \pm 0.6 \\ & (6.1-8.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.9 \pm 0.4 \\ (6.5-8.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.2 \pm 0.2 \\ (6.8-7.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \pm 0.6 \\ (6.2-8.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.2 \pm 0.5 \\ & (6.5-8.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.9 \pm 0.5 \\ (6.2-8.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.6 \pm 0.3 \\ (7.2-8.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.5 \pm 0.6 \\ & (6.6-8.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.3 \pm 0.2 \\ (6.8-7.5) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Lip height | $\begin{gathered} 2.4 \pm 0.3 \\ (2.1-3.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.6 \pm 0.2 \\ (2.1-3.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.4 \pm 0.3 \\ (2.1-3.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.1 \pm 0.2 \\ (1.8-2.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.4 \pm 0.3 \\ (2.1-2.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.3 \pm 0.3 \\ (1.9-2.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.4 \pm 0.2 \\ (2.1-2.8) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.3 \pm 0.2 \\ (1.9-2.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.6 \pm 0.4 \\ (2.1-3.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.9 \pm 0.2 \\ (2.5-3.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.4 \pm 0.3 \\ (1.9-2.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.2 \pm 0.2 \\ (1.9-2.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.4 \pm 0.3 \\ (1.9-2.8) \end{gathered}$ |  |

[^1]Table 3. Morphometric characters of males of Pratylenchus penetrans populations from different localities in Morocco. All measurements ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ ) are in $\mu \mathrm{m}$ and in the form: mean $\pm$ s.d. (range).

 $\begin{array}{lllll}(485-509) & (467-509) & 28.4 \pm 0.8 & 27.8 \pm 0.6 \quad 23-34\end{array}$ io
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Table 4. Morphometrics of females of four Pratylenchus thornei populations collected in Morocco. All measurements $(\mathrm{n}=10)$ are in $\mu \mathrm{m}$ and in the form: mean $\pm$ s.d. (range).

| Character | Population |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PZ1 | PZ2 | PZ3 | PZ4 | Sher \& Allen (1953) |
| L | $\begin{aligned} & 522 \pm 55 \\ & (429-595) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 603 \pm 17.9 \\ (571-626) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 554 \pm 38.2 \\ (468-601) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 550 \pm 30.3 \\ (489-608) \end{gathered}$ | 450-770 |
| a | $\begin{gathered} 30 \pm 5.7 \\ (21-39) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32.8 \pm 2.2 \\ (30.1-34.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31.5 \pm 2.6 \\ & (28.6-36.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.4 \pm 2.8 \\ (27-36) \end{gathered}$ | 26-36 |
| b | $\begin{aligned} & 7.4 \pm 0.6 \\ & (6.5-8.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.8 \pm 0.3 \\ & (8.3-9.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.8 \pm 0.7 \\ (6.4-8.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.5 \pm 0.5 \\ & (6.8-8.5) \end{aligned}$ | 5.5-8 |
| $\mathrm{b}^{\prime}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.8 \pm 0.7 \\ (3.7-5.8) \end{gathered}$ | $4.8 \pm 0.3$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.7 \pm 0.5 \\ (3.9-5.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.1 \pm 0.4 \\ (4.7-5.8) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| c | $\begin{aligned} & 16.7 \pm 1.8 \\ & (14.5-20.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.1 \pm 1.6 \\ & (17.8-22.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.8 \pm 1.5 \\ (15.9-20.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.7 \pm 1.6 \\ & (15.9-20.4) \end{aligned}$ | 18-22 |
| $c^{\prime}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.0 \pm 0.2 \\ (1.7-2.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.9 \pm 0.2 \\ & (1.7-2.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.9 \pm 0.2 \\ & (1.6-2.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.2 \pm 0.2 \\ (1.9-2.5) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| V | $\begin{gathered} 74.4 \pm 2.6 \\ (70.4-79.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 78.5 \pm 1.5 \\ (75.5-80.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77.4 \pm 1.7 \\ & (73.6-79.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 76.8 \pm 1.9 \\ (73.4-79.7) \end{gathered}$ | 73-80 |
| Stylet length | $\begin{aligned} & 17.2 \pm 1.1 \\ & (15.5-18.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.1 \pm 1.1 \\ (15-18.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.2 \pm 0.4 \\ (15.7-16.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.5 \pm 0.9 \\ (15.5-18.5) \end{gathered}$ | 17-19 |
| DGO | $\begin{gathered} 2.0 \pm 0.1 \\ (1.9-2.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.1 \pm 0.1 \\ (1.9-2.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.1 \pm 0.1 \\ (1.9-2.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1 \pm 0.1 \\ & (1.8-2.3) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Max. body diam. | $\begin{gathered} 17.7 \pm 2.2 \\ (13-19.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.4 \pm 0.9 \\ & (16.9-19.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.6 \pm 1.3 \\ (15.7-19.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.6 \pm 0.9 \\ (16.3-19.3) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Excretory pore | $\begin{aligned} & 80.8 \pm 3.1 \\ & (75.4-86.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 84.2 \pm 2.6 \\ & (79.3-87.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 84.9 \pm 3 \\ (79.3-88.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 82.0 \pm 2.4 \\ & (78.4-86.7) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| L/excretory pore | $\begin{gathered} 6.5 \pm 0.7 \\ (5.2-7.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.1 \pm 0.3 \\ & (6.6-7.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.5 \pm 0.5 \\ (5.4-7.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.7 \pm 0.5 \\ (5.6-7.6) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Pharynx length | $\begin{gathered} 70.6 \pm 3.3 \\ (63.7-74.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 68.4 \pm 2.3 \\ & (64.3-71.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70.7 \pm 2.4 \\ (66.8-74.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 73.5 \pm 2.8 \\ (69.8-78.3) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Pharyngeal overlap | $\begin{gathered} 40.7 \pm 3 \\ (34.0-44.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40.1 \pm 2.2 \\ & (36.4-43.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41.2 \pm 1.8 \\ & (37.8-44.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43.4 \pm 2.6 \\ & (39.8-46.7) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Post-uterine sac | $\begin{gathered} 26.2 \pm 0.5 \\ (25.3-27) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26.3 \pm 0.5 \\ & (25.4-27.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.4 \pm 0.5 \\ (25.7-27.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26.3 \pm 0.5 \\ & (25.7-27.3) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Tail | $\begin{gathered} 31.1 \pm 2.2 \\ (28.5-35) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.2 \pm 2.5 \\ (26.3-34) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31.1 \pm 2.2 \\ & (27.9-34.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31.2 \pm 2.4 \\ & (28.7-34.5) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Vulva to anus distance | $\begin{gathered} 101 \pm 8.1 \\ (88-111) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 99 \pm 6.6 \\ & (91-110) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 93 \pm 4.9 \\ & (87-103) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 95 \pm 6.6 \\ & (86-107) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Lip diam. | $\begin{aligned} & 7.7 \pm 0.2 \\ & (7.4-8.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.7 \pm 0.2 \\ & (7.4-8.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.6 \pm 0.2 \\ & (7.4-7.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.7 \pm 0.1 \\ & (7.5-7.9) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Lip height | $\begin{gathered} 2.7 \pm 0.1 \\ (2.6-3.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.8 \pm 0.1 \\ (2.5-3.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.7 \pm 0.1 \\ & (2.6-2.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.7 \pm 0.2 \\ (2.5-3.0) \end{gathered}$ |  |

For codes for populations, see Table 1.
(average of four populations) posterior to head, immediately posterior to hemizonid. Tail 18-25 annules, bluntly rounded, without striations around terminus.

## Male

Absent in each of the four populations.

## REMARKS

This species was detected in four populations (PZ1, PZ2, PZ3 and PZ4). The populations were morphologically and morphometrically similar to populations described previously (Sher \& Allen, 1953; Handoo \& Gol-
den, 1989; Pourjam et al., 1997). The morphometrics of females of these populations matched the original description with the exception of PZ1, PZ2 and PZ4, for which we observed a c-ratio different from the original description. A similar observation was made for the b-ratio of females of PZ2.

## Pratylenchus pseudocoffeae Mizukubo, 1992

## MORPHOMETRICS

See Table 5.

## DESCRIPTION

## Female

Body straight with posterior half slightly curved ventrally when heat-relaxed. Lip region with three annuli, relatively low, flattened, 2-3 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ high, 6-9 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ wide. Stylet knobs massive, shape variable but mostly broadly rounded or flattened anteriorly. Excretory pore at 87 (86-90) $\mu \mathrm{m}$, located slightly anterior to level of cardia. Hemizonid flat, 2-3 annuli long, at level of excretory pore. Vulva located at 81.8 (78-83.4)\% of body length. Spermatheca oblong, packed with sperm. Post-vulval uterine sac less than two vulval body diam. long. Tail sub-hemispherical or bluntly pointed with smooth terminus.

## Male

Body generally straight when heat-relaxed, similar to female except for sexual dimorphism. Stylet length slightly shorter than in female (Table 5). Stylet knob shape mostly broadly rounded. Labial region with three annuli. Spicules arcuate, slightly longer than stylet. Gubernaculum simple.

## REMARKS

Only one population of P. pseudocoffeae was detected (Settat, Chaouia region). The morphometric and morphological characters corresponded to those reported by Mizukubo (1992).

## CANONICAL DISCRIMINANT ANALYSIS (CDA)

Using a combination of 14 morphometric characters for the females and 13 morphometric characters for the males (Table 6), the CDA clearly separated the three species from one another (Fig. 1). Seven morphometric traits of
females (L, a, b, c, body diam., excretory pore and tail length) provided the most useful taxonomic characters for identification and discrimination (Table 6). The CDA for male morphometric characters equally allowed the separation of the two species of Pratylenchus; in this case four characters ( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$, body diam. and excretory pore) were the most valuable for species separation.

## MOLECULAR CHARACTERISATION

## Species-specific PCR and sequences

The duplex PCR with the PpenA/AB28 and D3A/D3B primer pairs amplified a single band of 340 bp for all 52 DNA extracts. A second specific band of 660 bp was present only for PZ1, PZ3, PZ4, PZ12, PZ18, PZ19, PC2, PC20, PG18, PS12, PS14 and PS20 (Table 1; Fig. 2). This means that out of 52 DNA extracts ( 17 populations), 23 extracts (12 populations) were identified as $P$. penetrans. Two DNA extracts of PC3 were not detected by the species-specific PCR for $P$. penetrans, although they were earlier identified morphologically as $P$. penetrans. For the remaining 29 extracts (nine populations) not identified as $P$. penetrans, the $P$. thornei-specific primer pair PHTO/D3B amplified a specific band of 288 bp for four DNA extracts originating from four populations (PZ1, PZ2, PZ3 and PZ4) (Fig. 3). Populations PZ1, PZ3 and PZ4 were composed of two species ( $P$. penetrans and P. thornei) (Figs 2-4; Table 1).

The comparison of the sequences of the D2-D3 28S rDNA expansion segments of the $P$. penetrans and $P$. thornei populations and three unidentified populations of Pratylenchus spp. (PZ7, PZ8 and PC1) from Morocco among themselves and with sequences of other Pratylenchus species available in GenBank is presented in Figure 4. This comparison confirmed the identification of $P$. penetrans and P. thornei using both morphological features and species-specific PCR. In addition, the sequences revealed the two DNA extracts of PC3 to be $P$. penetrans; earlier they were morphologically identified as $P$. penetrans but not detected by the species-specific PCR.

Thirteen sequences of the D2-D3 28S rDNA expansion segments were similar (99-100\%) to the sequences of P. penetrans published in GenBank, two sequences (PZ1 and PZ3) were similar ( $99 \%$ ) to $P$. thornei, four sequences (three individuals of PZ8 and PZ7) were similar (96-99\%) to $P$. pinguicaudatus, and one sequence ( PC 1 ) was similar (98\%) to P. pseudocoffeae. The comparison of the D2-D3 28 S rDNA expansion segments at intra-population level showed no divergence between PC3, PS12 and PS14; very low sequence divergence $(0-0.3 \%)$ was observed for the
F. Mokrini et al.

Table 5. Morphometric characters of female and male Pratylenchus pseudocoffeae population PC1 collected in Morocco. All measurements are in $\mu \mathrm{m}$ and in the form: mean $\pm$ s.d. (range).

| Character | Male |  | Female |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PC1 | Mizukubo (1992) | PC1 | Mizukubo (1992) |
| n | 10 | 11 | 10 | 50 |
| L | $\begin{aligned} & 480 \pm 16 \\ & (451-502) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 490 \\ (390-540) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 508 \pm 14.9 \\ (480-523) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 510 \\ (410-620) \end{gathered}$ |
| a | $\begin{aligned} & 30.8 \pm 1.3 \\ & (28.0-32.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.6 \\ (25.6-37.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27.8 \pm 2.3 \\ (25-30.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27.5 \\ (22.6-32.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| b | $\begin{gathered} 5.9 \pm 0.2 \\ (5.6-6.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.1 \\ (4.6-6.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.9 \pm 0.2 \\ (5.3-6.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.8 \\ (4.7-7.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathrm{b}^{\prime}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.3 \pm 0.1 \\ & (3.2-3.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.3 \\ (2.9-3.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.7 \pm 0.1 \\ & (3.5-3.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.1 \\ (2.6-3.4) \end{gathered}$ |
| c | $\begin{gathered} 19.9 \pm 2 \\ (17.4-24.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.3 \\ (18.1-23.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.1 \pm 0.8 \\ & (18.2-20.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.3 \\ (18-20) \end{gathered}$ |
| $c^{\prime}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.9 \pm 0.1 \\ & (1.7-2.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.4 \\ (1.8-2.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.4 \pm 0.1 \\ (2.2-2.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.4 \\ (1.8-2.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| V | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 81.8 \pm 0.4 \\ & (78.0-83.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81 \\ (79-82) \end{gathered}$ |
| Stylet length | $\begin{gathered} 14.8 \pm 0.4 \\ (14-15.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.0 \\ (14.0-15.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \pm 0.4 \\ (15.4-16.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ (15-17) \end{gathered}$ |
| DGO | $\begin{gathered} 2.7 \pm 0.3 \\ (2.2-3.2) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 2.5 \pm 0.2 \\ (2.1-2.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.5 \\ (2.1-2.8) \end{gathered}$ |
| Max. body diam. | $\begin{gathered} 15.5 \pm 0.4 \\ (15.0-16.1) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 17.6 \pm 1.6 \\ & (15.9-20.8) \end{aligned}$ | - |
| Excretory pore | $\begin{aligned} & 83.4 \pm 0.2 \\ & (82.4-86.1) \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 87.8 \pm 1.2 \\ & (86.2-90.1) \end{aligned}$ | - |
| L/excretory pore | $\begin{gathered} 5.6 \pm 0.2 \\ (5.2-5.9) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 5.7 \pm 0.1 \\ & (5.4-6.1) \end{aligned}$ | - |
| Pharynx length | $\begin{gathered} 81.6 \pm 2.9 \\ (76.4-85) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 85.7 \pm 1.2 \\ & (81.4-90.1) \end{aligned}$ | - |
| Vulva to anus distance | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 66.5 \pm 3.6 \\ & (61.3-72.3) \end{aligned}$ | - |
| Tail | $\begin{gathered} 24.3 \pm 2 \\ (20.0-27.4) \end{gathered}$ | 24.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 25.2 \pm 0.8 \\ & (24.0-26.4) \end{aligned}$ | - |
| Spicule | $\begin{aligned} & 17.1 \pm 0.4 \\ & (16.2-17.8) \end{aligned}$ | 16.5 | - | - |
| Gubernaculum | $\begin{gathered} 4.4 \pm 0.2 \\ (4.1-4.8) \end{gathered}$ | 5.0 | - | - |

other $P$. penetrans populations (Table 7). The D2-D3 sequence of the Moroccan population of $P$. pinguicaudatus had over $96 \%$ similarity with a sequence of this species deposited in GenBank (AJ545014) originating from a population from Tunisia. The sequence comparison of the two $P$. thornei populations from Morocco with the sequence of four $P$. thornei populations available in GenBank revealed the Moroccan sequences to be identical to the P. thornei sequence from Spain (EU130873). The relationships with other Pratylenchus species were mea-
sured through Bayesian Inference (BI) analysis. The obtained alignment presented 604 characters of which 181 were parsimony informative. On the basis of the topology of the calculated majority rule, $60 \%$ consensus Maximum Likelihood tree for all populations studied with addition of 13 Pratylenchus spp. from GenBank, two major groups of pratylenchids were revealed (Fig. 4). In Group I (bootstrap value $=75 \%$ ), three subgroups were found. The first group (Ia) comprised all $P$. penetrans populations from Morocco together with four other $P$.

Table 6. Standardised coefficients for canonical variants of Pratylenchus spp. for females and males.

| Selected character | Females |  |  | Males |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Root 1 | Root 2 |  | Root 1 | Root 2 |
| L | 0.5 | -1.89 |  | -0.47 | -0.44 |
| a | 0.18 | 1.53 |  | 0.33 | -0.19 |
| b | -1.2 | -0.1 | 0.2 | 0.6 |  |
| $\mathrm{~b}^{\prime}$ | -0.005 | -0.023 | -0.28 | 1.02 |  |
| c | 0.34 | -0.22 | 0.23 | -0.16 |  |
| $\mathrm{c}^{\prime}$ | 0.35 | 0.008 | -0.12 | -0.13 |  |
| V | 0.4 | 0.94 | - | - |  |
| Stylet length | -0.16 | 0.02 | -0.21 | -0.15 |  |
| DGO | 0.29 | 0.045 | - | - |  |
| Max. body diam. | 0.23 | 1.108 | -0.007 | -0.45 |  |
| Excretory pore | -0.31 | 0.72 | -0.008 | -1.11 |  |
| Pharynx overlap | 0.13 | 0.04 | 0.15 | 0.1 |  |
| Post-uterine sac | 0.13 | 0.0004 |  | - | - |
| Tail | -0.36 | 0.16 | 0.61 | 0.85 |  |
| Spicule | - | - | 0.24 | 0.18 |  |
| Gubernaculum | - | - | 0.21 | 0.1 |  |

penetrans populations available in GenBank (bootstrap value $=99 \%$ ). The second subgroup (Ib) comprised only P. fallax (AF264181). The third subgroup (Ic) comprised four populations of P. pinguicaudatus. Group II (bootstrap value $=90 \%$ ) held three subgroups. Subgroup IIa (bootstrap value $=99 \%$ ) contained the $P$. pseudocoffeae population from Morocco together with a Chinese population of the same species (AF170444). Subgroup IIb contained a $P$. coffeae population from China (JX046966). Finally, subgroup IIc (bootstrap value $=99 \%$ ) contained all $P$. thornei (Moroccan and other) populations. The P. thornei populations from Morocco (PZ1, PZ3) clustered with a Spanish population of the same species (EU130873).

## REPRODUCTIVE FITNESS

Significant differences in reproduction were observed between populations ( $F=19.1$; df $=5 ; P<0.0001$ ), temperature $(F=5022$; df $=3 ; P<0.0001)$ and time ( $F=4888.8 ; \mathrm{df}=2 ; P<0.0001$ ). Also the interactions populations $\times$ temperature $(F=76.4$; df $=15 ; P<$ 0.0001 ), population $\times$ time ( $F=22.9$; df $=10 ; P<$ 0.0001 ) and temperature $\times$ time $(F=4888.8 ; \mathrm{df}=6$; $P<0.0001$ ) were significant. Additionally, there was a significant three-way interaction between populations, temperature and time ( $F=50.7$; $\mathrm{df}=30 ; P<0.0001$ ).

At $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, all populations had increased 8 and 12 weeks after inoculation (WAI), but not at 4 WAI (Table 8).

The highest reproduction factor ( $R_{\mathrm{f}}$ ) at this temperature was found 12 WAI for $P$. penetrans PZ3 but was merely 3.7. The fewest nematodes $\left(R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.4\right)$ were retrieved 4 WAI for $P$. thornei PZ2. At this temperature, all final populations consisted of females, juveniles, males and eggs, except for P. thornei PZ2 in which no males were found. In all populations the fraction of eggs was the highest at each of the three observation times and varied between 61 and $92 \%$ (Fig. 5).

At $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, more nematodes were extracted than inoculated for all populations, even after only 4 WAI (Table 8 ). The greatest reproduction was found 12 WAI for $P$. penetrans PC2 ( $R_{\mathrm{f}}=51.3$ ) followed by $P$. penetrans PZ3 ( $R_{\mathrm{f}}=45.5$ ); P. pseudocoffeae PC 1 and $P$. thornei PZ2 had the lowest final $R_{\mathrm{f}}$ (21.7 and 26.9, respectively). At this temperature, all populations consisted of eggs, juveniles, females and males at all time points, except for $P$. thornei PZ2 for which no males were found. At 4 WAI, the proportion of eggs in the total population varied between 29 ( $P$. penetrans PC2) and $39 \%$ ( $P$. penetrans PS20). This percentage had increased by 8 WAI and ranged between 70 (P. pseudocoffeae PC 1 ) and $82 \%$ ( $P$. penetrans PC 2 and PZ3). At 12 WAI, the percentage of eggs had decreased again to about the same numbers as at 4 WAI (Fig. 6). The remainder of the nematodes consisted of a mixture of juveniles, males and females in variable proportions, depending on the population.

At 20 and $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the $R_{\mathrm{f}}$ of all populations differed significantly between incubation times ( $P<0.05$ ) (Table 8). At 8 WAI , the $R_{\mathrm{f}}$ was greatest for all populations at both temperatures. The highest $R_{\mathrm{f}}$ was found 8 WAI at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $P$. penetrans PG18 ( $R_{\mathrm{f}}=458.6$ ). At $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, all populations had multiplied already by a factor between 5.8 (PZ2) and 10.9 (PG18) 4 WAI (Table 8). At this temperature, at 8 WAI, $P$. pseudocoffeae PC1 had increased most ( $R_{\mathrm{f}}=217$ ) while the $R_{\mathrm{f}}$ of the other populations was significantly less and varied between 65 (PS20) and 81 (PC2). The final $R_{\mathrm{f}}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( $12 \mathrm{WAI)} \mathrm{ranged} \mathrm{from} 22$ ( $P$. penetrans PS20) to 111 ( $P$. pseudocoffeae PC1). The final populations at 20 and $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ consisted of females, juveniles, males (except $P$. thornei) and eggs; there was a greater percentage of females at 20 and $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ than at the lower temperatures (Fig. 6).

## Discussion

Our survey of Pratylenchus in wheat-growing areas of Morocco yielded four species, viz., P. penetrans, $P$. thornei, P. pinguicaudatus and P. pseudocoffeae. The


Fig. 1. The two main canonical discriminant functions between 18 Pratylenchus populations from Morocco for females (A) and males (B), based on 14 morphological characters (see Table 6).
presence of these nematodes indicates potential damage to wheat and the need for appropriate management strategies. Previous surveys in the area had only revealed the presence of P. penetrans and P. thornei (Ammati, 1987; Meskine \& Abbad Andaloussi, 1992; Mokrini et al., 2009). That means that the presence of P. pinguicaudatus and P. pseudocoffeae in Morocco is reported herein
for the first time. Both, P. penetrans and P. thornei are economically important and considered serious pests of many hosts, including cereals (Castillo \& Vovlas, 2007). However, nothing is known about the importance of $P$. pinguicaudatus and P. pseudocoffeae in cereals.

Traditionally, identification of Pratylenchus species relies on the morphology and morphometrics of females


Fig. 2. A, B: Result of Pratylenchus penetrans species-specific duplex PCR (Waeyenberge et al., 2009) using all DNA extracts mentioned in Table 1. $\mathrm{X}=100 \mathrm{bp}$ DNA ladder (Promega Benelux); $\mathrm{T}-$ : negative control; $\mathrm{T}+$ : positive control.
and males (when present). Variability in morphology is frequently observed among species of the genus Pratylenchus (e.g., Doucet et al., 2001; de la Peña et al., 2007; Troccoli et al., 2008). However, individual species subjected to varying environmental conditions and different host plants also differ in many morphometric relationships (Román \& Hirschmann, 1969).

The CDA of morphometric data revealed the most important characteristics to discriminate $P$. penetrans, $P$. thornei and P. pseudocoffeae. Seven female characters
allowed discrimination of these three species, whereas four male characters separated $P$. penetrans from $P$. pseudocoffeae (no males for P. thornei). Body diam. and distance from anterior end to excretory pore were the most important discriminating characters for the females and males. CDA has been used successfully to analyse morphometric data of Pratylenchus spp. Tuyet et al. (2013) reported that CDA enabled separation of ten populations of $P$. coffeae from Vietnam into three groups. The body length, b-ratio and distance from


Fig. 3. Pratylenchus thornei species-specific primers PTHO/D3B (Al-Banna et al., 2004) using DNA from 29 DNA extracts. $\mathrm{X}=$ 100 bp DNA ladder; T-: negative control.
anterior end to the excretory pore were the most important characters of males to distinguish the populations in these groups. Unlike the findings of Tuyet et al. (2013), the Moroccan populations of $P$. penetrans did not segregate into groups.

Species-specific primers for PCR have been developed to complement the traditional species identification of $P$. penetrans (Uehara et al., 1998; Waeyenberge et al., 2009), P. thornei (Al-Banna et al., 2004; Troccoli et al., 2008) and $P$. neglectus (Yan et al., 2008). Waeyenberge et al. (2009) demonstrated that the primers were able to detect a very large number of isolates of $P$. penetrans originating from all over the world. With the exception of two DNA extracts from the Chaouia region of Morocco (PC3), the PCR confirmed the morphological identification of 12 of our populations as $P$. penetrans. The non-identification of the two individuals of PC3 might have been caused by a polymorphism at the binding site of the primers. Waeyenberge et al. (2009) commented on problems associated with species-specific primers, such as variation between sequences of isolates from different geographical origins. Sogut \& Devran (2011) showed that the duplex PCR (PpenA, AB28/D3A, D3B) developed by Waeyen-
berge et al. (2009) did not always yield the two expected amplification products yet they demonstrated that the single primer pair (PpenA/AB28) successfully identified $P$. penetrans. When using the species-specific primers developed for P. thornei (Al-Banna et al., 2004), we obtained the characteristic band of 288 bp for four populations, confirming their morphological identification. The same primer set was successfully used in other research (Sogut \& Devran, 2011; Fayazi et al., 2012) and seems to be universal.

During the last two decades, sequences of the D2-D3 region have been commonly used to separate nematodes at species level, including pratylenchids (e.g., Duncan et al., 1999; Carta et al., 2001; De Luca et al., 2004; de la Peña et al., 2007; Inserra et al., 2007; Subbotin et al., 2008). Previous studies (Duncan et al., 1999; Al-Banna et al., 2004; Waeyenberge et al., 2009) demonstrated that this region is stable in length ( 303 bp ) within Pratylenchus and is therefore a suitable region for studying the phylogeny of the genus. The number of clades and their composition vary with both the gene(s) and the number of Pratylenchus spp. studied (Carta et al., 2001; De Luca et al., 2004; de la Peña et al., 2007; Subbotin et al., 2008). The D2-D3 sequences


Fig. 4. Maximum likelihood tree ( $60 \%$ majority rule) obtained from the analysis of the alignment of 20 sequences of Pratylenchus penetrans, P. thornei, P. pseudocoffeae and P. pinguicaudatus with addition of Pratylenchus sequences obtained from GenBank.

Table 7. Pairwise distances between 13 Pratylenchus penetrans populations from Morocco, based on D2-D3 28S rDNA expansion segments sequences.

| Population |  |  | milar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| PZ1 | 1 |  | 100 | 99.8 | 100 | 100 | 99.8 | 100 | 100 | 99.8 | 100 | 99.8 | 100 | 99.8 |
| PZ4 | 2 |  |  | 99.8 | 100 | 100 | 99.8 | 100 | 100 | 99.8 | 100 | 99.8 | 100 | 99.8 |
| PZ18 | 3 |  |  |  | 99.8 | 99.8 | 99.7 | 99.8 | 99.8 | 99.8 | 99.8 | 99.8 | 99.8 | 99.8 |
| PZ19 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 100 | 99.8 | 100 | 100 | 99.8 | 100 | 99.8 | 100 | 99.8 |
| PZ3 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 99.8 | 100 | 100 | 99.8 | 100 | 99.8 | 100 | 99.8 |
| PZ12 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 99.8 | 99.8 | 99.7 | 99.8 | 99.7 | 99.8 | 99.7 |
| PC20 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 99.8 | 100 | 99.8 | 100 | 99.8 |
| PC2 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 99.8 | 100 | 99.8 | 100 | 99.8 |
| PC3 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 99.8 | 100 | 99.8 | 100 |
| PG8 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 99.8 | 100 | 99.8 |
| PS12 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 99.8 | 100 |
| PS20 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 99.8 |
| PS14 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

For codes, see Table 1.

Table 8. Effect of time and temperature on the in vitro reproduction ( $R_{\mathrm{f}}$ ) on carrot disks of six Pratylenchus populations belonging to different species from Morocco $(\mathrm{n}=20)$.

| $T\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $R_{\text {f }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PZ3 | PC2 | PG18 | PS20 | PZ2 | PC1 |
| 4 weeks |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | $0.5 \mathrm{a}^{*}$ | 0.8a | 0.5b | 0.5b | 0.4b | 0.6 b |
| 15 | 3.7 a | 3.4 a | 3.9a | 4.1a | 3.6 a | 3.8a |
| 20 | 6.5 ab | 5.7c | 6.8 a | 6.1bc | 3.6 e | 4.7 d |
| 25 | 10.4a | 8.7b | 10.9a | 9 b | 5.8c | 8 b |
| 8 weeks |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 1.3b | 1.4b | 1.6b | 1b | 1.2b | 3.2a |
| 15 | 41.8a | 41.1a | 44.7a | 39.9a | 39.8a | 27.1b |
| 20 | 350cd | 413.5ab | 458.6a | 394.4bc | 310.2d | 252.3 e |
| 25 | 68.6bc | 80.8b | 77.9 b | 64.9c | 70.7bc | 217.3a |
| 12 weeks |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 3.7 a | 3.1ab | 2.8 b | 2.7 b | 3b | 2.9 b |
| 15 | 45.5b | 51.3a | 39.2c | 35 c | 26.9d | 21.7d |
| 20 | 121b | 112.1bc | 115.2b | 118.5 b | 103.7c | 146.5a |
| 25 | 25.8c | 38.3c | 23.8c | 21.8c | 68b | 111a |

Carrot disks inoculated with 20 females and incubated at $10,15,20$ or $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Observations made 4,8 or 12 weeks after inoculation. For codes, see Table 1.
${ }^{*}$ Means in rows at 4,8 and 12 weeks after inoculation followed by the same letter do not differ significantly according to Tukey's test ( $P<0.05$ ).
of the Moroccan pratylenchids showed a high interspecific difference. Pratylenchus penetrans and P. pinguicaudatus were the closest species with $9.1 \%$ nucleotide divergence for the compared sequences. However, $P$. penetrans and $P$. pseudocoffeae showed a divergence of $25.4 \%$. In the phylogenetic tree inferred from the D2-D3 region, the Moroccan populations of $P$. penetrans are localised in a large clade with $P$. fallax and $P$. pinguicaudatus, while $P$. pseudocoffeae is grouped with $P$. thornei. The topology of the consensus tree obtained in this study is relatively similar to the one obtained by Subbotin et al. (2008). Previous research using the D2-D3 region or the 18 S rDNA gene as molecular marker (Subbotin et al., 2008; De Luca et al., 2011), always grouped P. penetrans with $P$. pinguicaudatus and P. fallax. However, Carta et al. (2001), when using the ITS region as marker, showed $P$. fallax to be close to $P$. penetrans, thereby confirming that $P$. fallax and $P$. penetrans are two different species, as suggested by previous studies (Perry et al., 1980; Ibrahim et al., 1994, Waeyenberge et al., 2000). A similar grouping was observed by De Luca et al. (2004) using D2-D3 sequences. The clustering of $P$. penetrans with $P$. pinguicaudatus indicates a close phylogenetic relationship. The D2-D3 sequences obtained for the two populations of $P$. thornei
(PZ3 and PZ4) were identical to each other and also to a sequence in GenBank from a $P$. thornei population from Spain (EU130873). Similar results were obtained when Subbotin et al. (2008) compared five P. thornei populations from different countries in the Mediterranean area (Italy, Morocco, Tunisia and Spain).

At intraspecific level the D2-D3 sequences showed very low diversity ( $P$. penetrans: $0-0.3 \%$, P. thornei: $0-0.9 \%$, P. pinguicaudatus: $0-4.3 \%$, P. pseudocoffeae: $0.3 \%$ ). Earlier, de la Peña et al. (2007) reported similar low divergences of D2-D3 sequences of $P$. pratensis ( $0-1.4 \%$ ) and $P$. dunensis de la Peña, Moens, van Aelst \& Karssen $(1.7 \%)$. Clearly, subspecific divergence is common in pratylenchids. The relatively greater differences obtained for $P$. pinguicaudatus are difficult to explain. However, the absence of different geographical isolates of this species, and of additional sequences in the database, does not allow any conclusion concerning these two sequences.

The multiplication of a selected number of six populations (P. penetrans: PZ3, PC2, PG18 and PS20, P. thornei: PZ 2 , and $P$. pseudocoffeae: PC1) was clearly influenced by the population, the temperature and the incubation time. The significant interaction between these three pa-


Fig. 5. Effect of time and temperature on the in vitro population composition on carrot disks of six Pratylenchus populations belonging to different species $(\mathrm{n}=20)$. Carrot disks inoculated with 20 females and incubated at $10,15,20$ or $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Observations were made 4 , 8 or 12 weeks after inoculation. For codes see Table 1.
rameters indicated that the populations did not increase in a similar way with temperature and time. When kept at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 weeks, none of the populations was able to increase in number. Obviously, $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is close to the lower limit of the temperature range allowing multiplication of Moroccan Pratylenchus spp. Kodira \& Ferris (1992) showed that $P$. neglectus reproduced at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on excised barley roots in Petri dishes, but data on multiplication of Pratylenchus populations in carrot disks at this temperature are not available. Next to the availability of suitable host plants, the geographic distribution of pratylenchids is related to their temperature requirements (Castillo \& Vovlas, 2007). In Morocco, the minimum soil temperature throughout the cereal production cycle (November to June) varies in the four regions surveyed. In Chaouia, the minimum temperatures range from 5 (January) to $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (at the end of winter) (Balaghi et al., 2013). This range is favourable for the development of RLN as we observed an increase in Pratylenchus populations on carrot disks 8 WAI at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and even earlier
at 15 and $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Penetration of nematodes into the root system, which can seriously affect plant growth, is expected at tillering, which occurs from February onwards when temperatures are above $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (Meskine \& Abbad Andaloussi, 1992). In the Zaers and Gharb regions, minimum temperatures are above $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during the elongation stage which allows nematode penetration during this period. However, in the Saiss region, minimum temperatures are close to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, but temperatures exceed $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by the end of March, corresponding to the ear emergence stage, thereby promoting nematode penetration of plant roots. Consequently, wheat production is more prone to RLN damage in Chaouia.

Amongst the temperatures studied, $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is clearly the optimum. In general, this value is in agreement with studies on the effects of temperature on the reproduction of several Pratylenchus species reported by several authors (Ascota \& Malek, 1979; Castillo et al., 1995, 1996a, b; Thompson et al., 2015). As several studies have showed a relationship between reproductive fitness and pathogenic-
ity (Sarah et al., 1993; Fallas et al., 1995; Trinh et al., 2011), we can assume that at temperatures where high reproduction on carrot disks was noted damage to cereal crops can be expected. In Morocco, temperatures around $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ coincide with the elongation and heading stages of the wheat crop (Balaghi et al., 2013). Lesion nematode attacks during this period affect both the vegetative growth and the grain filling and can result in a significant reduction in grain production quantity and quality.
In our study, all three species of Pratylenchus multiplied very well on carrot disks at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. However, the total numbers recovered per carrot disk varied with the species and population. Intraspecific differences in reproductive fitness of the four populations of $P$. penetrans were noticed at different temperatures. This difference among $P$. penetrans populations may be due to adaptation of the population to its environment (e.g., climate, temperature, soil type) (Dao, 1970; Moens \& Perry, 2009).

Fewer nematodes were retrieved 12 WAI than 8 WAI at 20 and $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in all six populations. This decrease in nematodes is probably caused by exhaustion of the food source. Such a decline has been observed in other carrot disk experiments and is influenced by the initial nematode population density, temperature and duration of the experiment (e.g., Stoffelen et al., 1999; Tuyet et al., 2013). At 20 and $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ we noticed some deterioration of the carrot tissues 12 WAI , as well as nematodes migrating away from the carrot disks. This suggests that the high nematode densities resulted in the breakdown of carrot tissue as many plant cells were punctured by nematodes. The effect of temperature on the proportion of the different developmental stages was remarkable. At $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the nematode community on the carrot disks was mainly composed of eggs, even at 12 WAI. As the temperature increased, the prominent presence of eggs was much reduced in favour of juveniles, females and males (but not for PZ2 as the taxon has no males). Based on the high number of females in all populations at higher temperatures, the life cycle of most individuals was probably not completed at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. However, at 20 and $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the life cycle was already completed within 4 WAI, whilst egg laying had resumed. A similar life cycle duration at $20-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ has been reported for $P$. thornei from Spain by Castillo et al. (1995). No males were observed for P. thornei (PZ2). Absence of males in populations of P. thornei has been reported previously (Pinochet et al., 1992; Castillo et al., 1995, 1998).
The results presented here are the first providing integrated morphometric, morphological and molecular char-
acterisation of RLN populations from Morocco. Observations of nematode reproduction in carrot disks further showed clear differences between species and populations. These results provide basic information to develop a research program that aims at establishing a control strategy against lesion nematodes. Additional experiments on nematode multiplication on wheat are necessary to confirm the observations in the laboratory on carrot disks and the pathogenicity of $P$. penetrans and $P$. thornei on wheat needs to be examined.
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